## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRIAN RICHARDSON, MICHELLE HUNT, JOHN WHITE AND JACQUELINE BOWSER, Plaintiffs, CIVIL ACTION

v.

NO. 15-6325

VERDE ENERGY USA, INC., Defendant.

## ORDER

**AND NOW**, this 14th day of December, 2018, upon consideration of Defendant's Motions for Partial Summary Judgment (ECF Nos. 73 & 75) and briefing in support thereof, and Plaintiffs' briefing in opposition thereto, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 1. Defendant's motion is **GRANTED** as to Plaintiffs' claims based on Defendant's alleged used of an "automatic telephone dialing system." Plaintiffs' claims based on Defendant's alleged used of an "automatic telephone dialing system" will therefore be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 2. Defendant's motion is **GRANTED** as to Plaintiffs' claims based on those calls placed on:
  - a. October 26, 2015 to Plaintiff Hunt;
  - b. May 13, 2016 to Plaintiff Villiger; and
  - c. November 18, 2015 to Plaintiff Bowser;

Plaintiffs' claims based on the aforementioned calls will therefore be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

- 3. Defendant's motion is **DENIED** as to Plaintiffs' claims based on the alleged use of an artificial or prerecorded voice for the remainder of the calls placed to Plaintiffs.
- 4. Defendant's motion is **DENIED** as to the remainder of Plaintiff Richardson's claims.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Strike Class Allegations (ECF No. 74) and briefing in support thereof, and Plaintiffs' briefing in opposition thereto, Defendant's motion is **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Motion to Preclude Filing of Certain Reply Briefs (ECF No. 77) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

BY THE COURT:
/S/WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.
WENDY REETI ESTONE I